

MARIN COUNTY COUNCIL OF MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS

DRAFT

MINUTES

Wednesday, November 28, 2018

Hosted by the Town of Ross

Lagunitas Country Club ~ 205 Lagunitas Road, Ross, CA

Members Present

- Belvedere: Kemnitzer, Winter
- Corte Madera: Andrews, Bailey, Kunhardt
- Fairfax: Ackerman, Coler, Goddard, Reed
- Larkspur: Chu, Haroff, Hillmer, Way
- Mill Valley: McEntee, Wickham
- Novato: Drew, Eklund
- Ross: Brekhus, Kuhl, McMillan, Robbins, Russell
- San Anselmo: Brown, Colbert, Greene, Wright
- San Rafael: Phillips, McCullough
- Sausalito: Burns, Cox, Hoffman, Withy
- Tiburon: Fredericks, Thier

Ex Officio: Fairfax Town Manager Garrett Toy; Novato City Manager Reagan Candelario; Ross Town Manager Joe Chinn; San Anselmo Town Manager David Donery; San Rafael City Manager Jim Schutz; MCCMC Secretary Rebecca Vaughn

Guests were: Marin County Board of Supervisor Katie Rice and Lorenzo Cordova, Aide to Supervisor Dennis Rodoni.

Call to Order

President Ray Withy called the meeting to order at 7:00p.m., welcomed everyone to the Marin County Council of Mayors and Councilmembers for November 28, 2018 and then called for public comment.

Public Comment

1. Pat Eklund, Novato – Councilmember Eklund stated that she was speaking on behalf of Susan Kirsch, who could not attend. She wanted to invite any interested members to attend a session that she is putting together, “The End of Local Land Use Authority in the Bay Area?” This is about MTC/CASA, the Committee to House the Bay Area. The meeting will take place on December 8, 2018 from 9:30am to 12:00pm and flyers are on the tables. The speaker will be Zelda Bronstein, who is an investigative journalist.

Following Public Comment, President Withy introduced Ross Mayor Beach Kuhl.

Welcome and Introduction of Guests

Mayor Kuhl welcomed everyone to the Town of Ross. He introduced the following members Ross Town Council: Elizabeth Brekhus, Julie McMillan, Elizabeth Robbins, and Rupert Russell. He then introduced the Ross Town Staff present: Town Manager Joe Chinn, Public Works Director Richard Simonitch, Planning Manager Heidi Scoble, Recreation Director Mike Armstrong, Ross Valley Fire Chief Jason Weber, and Administrative Manager/Town Clerk Linda Lopez.

Once dinner service was underway, President Withy called on Committee Reports

1  
2 **4. Committee Reports:**  
3

4 **4.a. Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) - Pat Eklund, Novato, with an added report**  
5 **on the Marin County Major Crimes Task Force**  
6

7 Councilmember Eklund first provided a brief update regarding the Marin County Major Crimes  
8 Task Force. She stated that she has, in the past, requested that the task force to agree to set up  
9 a reserve fund, so they don't have to ask for additional money from the cities. She reported that  
10 at the November meeting, the board agreed to establish a reserve. She thanked the city  
11 managers, because the city manager representative did say that the city managers were  
12 supportive of establishing the reserve.  
13

14 She also shared that, at the August task force meeting, one of the officers spoke about the  
15 fentanyl deaths that we have had in Marin, and the young people who are dying from fentanyl,  
16 and she asked if there is something else that we can do so that our kids in Marin County do not  
17 die from fentanyl, because it's laced with opiates and other drugs. At the November meeting,  
18 the officer informed her that her question was considered seriously, and the task force sent staff  
19 to San Diego, where there is a county that is trying to do something about fentanyl, in order to  
20 learn about their approach to dealing with the drug. Marin will become only the second county in  
21 the state to prosecute fentanyl dealers for murder convictions. So, they will actually have to do  
22 time, a lot of time, if they do get the cases and she is hopeful that this will help get fentanyl off  
23 the market.  
24

25 Regarding ABAG:

26 Councilmember Eklund made a brief announcement about the status of the new Executive  
27 Director for MTC and ABAG. They have selected the candidates that will be interviewed and  
28 those interviews will be conducted on December 3rd. Then those candidates that they want to  
29 bring forward will then go to the ABAG select committee, and then the ABAG select committee  
30 will give feedback to the MTC committee for consideration. Then the entire MTC board will  
31 interview the candidates on January 9, 2019, with a selection made on January 23, 2019.  
32

33 She also provided an update on CASA, the Committee to House the Bay Area. She  
34 encouraged everyone to read the report, because CASA will change local control. At the MTC  
35 retreat, she heard MTC commissioners express concern about the loss of local control on some  
36 of those term sheets. Unfortunately, some commissioners, especially in the larger cities are  
37 saying that they can live with all of term sheets, including establishing a regional housing entity  
38 and then also passing the additional revenue measures. It was discussed that cities have really  
39 not been well represented in this process, and she was asked to both participate in a meeting,  
40 as well as get some other cities to come and talk about what needs to be changed in order for  
41 people to live with the determinations. She does not know at this point where these discussions  
42 will go, but will continue to provide updates.  
43  
44

45 **4.b. Golden Gate Bridge Highway Transportation District – Alice Fredericks, Tiburon**

46 Councilmember Fredericks reported that 20,000 people per day take Golden Gate Bridge,  
47 Highway, and Transit District ferries and buses. Without the District's service, there would be a  
48 21% increase in peak traffic during peak commute hours on the bridge. Ferry service is efficient  
49 and faces increasing demand. More commuters are taking the ferry than the buses, and despite  
50 the demands, there are limits to the service that can be currently provided. Even though fare  
51 box recovery for ferries is 51%, that is almost twice the bus fare box recovery.  
52

1 For the Tiburon Ferry, we just reached the peak because there are no projected population  
 2 increases. The Sausalito Ferry has challenges of its own. The Larkspur Ferry makes the 42  
 3 crossings a day, which is the maximum allowed under its current EIR, and parking fills up early,  
 4 which limits the capacity to increase runs.

5  
 6 Limited dock capacity, as well as the number of ferries, are also factors in limiting increases in  
 7 ferry service, even though it's one of our most efficient public transit services. Federal funding  
 8 isn't readily available for new ferries. We did identify a ferry to buy, and then we ended up  
 9 having to lease it. It didn't have the specs to accommodate the engines that matched the  
 10 California Air Resources Board emission standards, but the regulations allow a two-year lease,  
 11 and that gives us two years to find money for another one.

12  
 13 In conclusion, she assured the group that even though the MS San Francisco is out of service,  
 14 the existing fleet of seven boats will keep to the normal schedule.

15  
 16 **4.c. MCCMC Legislative Committee – Alice Fredericks, Tiburon**

17 Councilmember Fredericks reiterated Councilmember Eklund's suggestion that everyone review  
 18 the CASA report. The legislative committee met with Senator McGuire for its November  
 19 meeting. And he talked about the next legislative housing bills and the focus on housing. She  
 20 provided a brief synopsis of the discussion, explaining that the Senator suggested state  
 21 legislation would reduce construction costs, and also shield local elected officials from backlash.

22  
 23 The legislative committee firmly rejected the need to be protected and felt that community input  
 24 can increase permitting cost, but often creates a better project. The possibility of increased  
 25 construction costs should not be used as a pretext to eliminate public process and public input.  
 26 Senator McGuire is working with Senator Beall on another version of tax increment funding, so  
 27 that local jurisdictions can raise their own funds, and put it into a housing pot. He pledged to  
 28 address the problems that are generated by the proliferation of one-size-fits-all housing  
 29 regulation. And he noted some of the ideas of concern to us, that are considered by the  
 30 members of the legislature coming up in the next session, such as the transit corridor legislation  
 31 from Senator Wiener. Other ideas being discussed include: mandatory height bonuses and  
 32 reduced or no parking requirements for dense or low income housing; mandatory increases in  
 33 the allowance of the size of ADUs; mandatory ministerial approval, not just of ADUs which some  
 34 of us have adopted already, but of duplexes and four-plexes; and, finally, levy of a bed tax on  
 35 vacation rental/Airbnb-type businesses, with some of the revenue going to the local jurisdictions  
 36 for housing.

37  
 38 Our brilliant legislative committee had many ideas and comments. One of the best comments  
 39 was the fact that transportation money for immediate congestion needs will alleviate some of the  
 40 concern about future congestion, that might be caused by the increased densities and that  
 41 permitting high density projects, where there is a wild land fire threat, or prohibiting parking  
 42 requirements on narrow legacy roads where projects are proposed is dangerous.  
 43 Councilmember Cox, Sausalito, suggested that no ministerial approval be allowed for ADUs on  
 44 narrower legacy roads, and the applicant should have the burden to show that parking is not  
 45 needed. She also pointed out that the high caps that we have in many of our communities are  
 46 part of the value of our land, and that they need to be addressed in a way that one size does not  
 47 fit all.

48  
 49 Finally, she discussed the fact that in many of our Marin cities, sea level rise may reduce the  
 50 available acreage for dense housing. So, we had a good meeting, and another meeting is  
 51 planned for February. In conclusion she asked everyone to think about these issues and give  
 52 some feedback to your members of the legislative committee, so that we can give Senator

1 McGuire some more input to address this one-size-fits-all. It would really help your legislative  
2 members, and it would help your jurisdiction.  
3

4 **4.d. Transportation Authority of Marin - Alice Fredericks, Tiburon**

5 Councilmember Fredericks reported that Measure AA, the transportation sales tax, passed at  
6 76.59%. Without this victory, had it not passed, we would have lost 24 crossing guards in  
7 January. We would have had to redirect money earmarked for Yellow School Bus to the  
8 crossing guards to finish out the school year. We would have had to stop all work on the Marin-  
9 Sonoma Narrows in May of 2019, until another source of funding was secured. Yellow Bus  
10 funding would be delayed, along with the work of the school transportation ad hoc committee to  
11 secure future and further funding.  
12

13 The 101/580 project, the environmental work, would miss the jumpstart it needs, and have to  
14 wait around for RM3 Funds. The jumpstart gave us about a two-year head start, and when  
15 you're working with a project in which Caltrans is the lead agency, two years is a great  
16 jumpstart.  
17

18 Sea level rise planning would also have been delayed. Matching funds for eligibility for grants  
19 would not be available. It would disappear without Measure AA. And that would delay the  
20 planning to address sea level rise on places like Highway 37. Local streets and roads would  
21 lose the proposed increase in local share that is planned from the Measure AA Funds.  
22

23 The increment jumped from 13% to 22% and it made local projects eligible for Measure AA  
24 money, to support EVs, local funds for Yellow Bus, flood management EV support.  
25  
26

27 **4.e. Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART) – Dan Hillmer, Larkspur**

28 Councilmember Hillmer stated that there was no new information to distribute from the SMART  
29 General Manager, he encouraged the membership to visit <http://www.sonomamarintrain.org> for  
30 the latest information. He announced that ridership is at or over projections. He reported that  
31 SMART has had a handful of fatalities this year, so he encouraged safety first, especially in the  
32 quiet zones.  
33

34 The biggest challenge facing SMART will be how to get people from the train to the ferry when  
35 the train arrives in Larkspur in March of next year, so the Board is focusing on that.  
36

37 **4.f. Disaster Preparedness Ad Hoc Committee – Catherine Way, Larkspur**

38 Councilmember Way reported that the Disaster Preparedness ad hoc committee met for the  
39 sixth time on Monday. Chief Jason Webber arranged a tour of the Office of Emergency Services  
40 headquarters at the sheriff's building, at 1600 Los Gamos. We got a tour of the facilities and had  
41 a conversation about how our cities interplay with the county as far as disaster response is  
42 concerned. The group also got to tour the 911 call center. She stated that the next two months  
43 will be spent writing up our report, and hopefully, we'll be able to present it to the group in  
44 February.  
45

46 **4.g. Pension/OPEB Ad Hoc Committee – Larry Chu, Larkspur**

47 Councilmember Chu provided a brief update on the Pension and OPEB ad hoc committee.  
48 The committee is still in the process of writing the report, with the draft hopefully completed by  
49 the end of January. He encouraged the membership to reach out to him directly, or their city's  
50 committee representative, with any questions or comments.  
51

52 Councilmember Chu stated that one of the issues that came to mind as he was writing at least  
53 one portion on city revenues is that, in the last election, there were a lot of different types of

1 tax measures. One of the things that we need to look at as local municipalities, is that every  
2 community has a limited revenue capacity and they're competing for the same funds.  
3 A good topic of discussion for a future Community United meeting may be, "How much  
4 capacity does each community have, and how can we work together to try and get that funded  
5 in a more cohesive and coherent way, rather than each entity going out to the public for a  
6 ballot measure".  
7  
8

9 **5. Keynote Speaker:**

10 **Kenneth Petrilla – Managing Partner and U.S. Representative of ChinaVest: "California-China  
11 Business Relationships in Today's Environment"**  
12

13 Mayor Kuhl introduced Mr. Petrilla. Thank you, Ray. Our speaker for tonight is Ken Petrilla, who is a  
14 longtime Ross resident, and a retired executive vice president of Wells Fargo. At one point, during his  
15 employment by Wells Fargo, he spent seven years living in London, and was in charge of Wells Fargo  
16 activities in Europe, the Middle East, and Africa. After his return from that service, he headed Wells  
17 Fargo's China desk and lived in Shanghai. After his retirement, he was appointed by Governor Brown as  
18 California's trade representative to China, and in that capacity, he promoted Chinese investments in  
19 California, and California companies' involvement in business in China. Once he had completed that  
20 service, he became Managing Director and the US representative of a merchant bank called China Vest,  
21 which performs the same function, trying to help United States companies who want to do trade with  
22 China, and Chinese companies who want to trade with the United States. In view of our President's  
23 meeting this weekend with the President of China to apparently attempt to address some trade issues, I  
24 think what Ken has to tell us is very current, and it's my pleasure to welcome him, Ken Petrilla.  
25

26 Mr. Petrilla thanked the group for having him and stated that he will try to provide a local flavor to his  
27 comments about California and doing business with China, and that he will talk about what the state of  
28 California does to support California companies doing business in China. Following that, he will talk  
29 about the trade tensions in the era of President Trump and provide his take on the situation. Finally,  
30 after talking about some of these trade tensions and the trade war, I'll talk about the challenges and  
31 opportunities for California companies doing business in China and discuss what the future might hold  
32 and how California, particularly with the change in administration, could possibly navigate this  
33 landscape.  
34

35 He spoke briefly about the trade office, explaining that, some time ago, there were a lot of trade offices  
36 that the state of California had around the globe in places like London and Paris and Pretoria, et cetera.  
37 In 2003, the Governor saw that this was really not going anywhere, so he closed all those offices down.  
38 From 2003 and for about the next 10 years, the state of California had no offices anywhere outside of  
39 California. In 2013, Governor Brown said, "California is so important to China, and China is so important  
40 to California, we need to have a trade office. So, he revisited that idea, and his business model was to  
41 get a partner. He didn't know that he wouldn't be able to get the legislature to approve a budget for an  
42 office in China. So, he went to the business community in California, and at the end of the day, the  
43 group, Bay Area Council told Governor Brown they would be his partner. So, the state of California then  
44 formed something which they called pretty much of a mouthful, California China Office of Trade and  
45 Investment, for short, "Trade Office", and opened in Shanghai. Eventually, Mr. Petrilla was asked to run  
46 that office, and he retired from Wells Fargo Bank, and moved to Shanghai to run the trade office.  
47

48 The partnership between the Bay Area Council and the state of California was with the Governor's Office  
49 of Business and Economic Development. The trade office in Shanghai had modest at best success for a  
50 variety of reasons, not the least of which were budgetary constraints. So, there were some good things  
51 and some bad things. While he was there, there were anywhere between 30 and 35 states that had  
52 offices in China, with the general idea being to entice Chinese investment into your state or your local  
53 community to create jobs and to also help your companies in your state that might be doing business in  
54 China.

1  
2 He explained that, if there were 35 states represented in China, 34 of them were trying harder than the  
3 state of California. So, the California office's budget, and the money spent, was minimal compared to  
4 other states. The irony of that was that no matter how much these other states would do, their people  
5 would flock to California and maybe New York and Texas. So, that's what I mean by a resting on our  
6 laurels. Mr. Petrilla was the last Executive Director. The idea of a California trade office still exists, but  
7 it's more virtual now. There is an office in Shanghai that has two or three employees, and they are paid  
8 by their employees of the Bay Area Council.

9  
10 What the state of California does now to support California businesses that want to access the China  
11 market is they do it through what they now call a China Trade Network, which is all these various  
12 organizations and entities and economic development groups, like the World Trade Council, like the LA  
13 Economic Development Center, the International Chamber of Commerce and China SF. So, all those  
14 groups still exist and they tap into this network. So, that is a very brief history of what California does,  
15 and what communities do to support California businesses in China.

16  
17 He then spoke about the trade tensions that exist. He stated that, while not necessarily a Trump  
18 supporter, he does believe that he is bringing to the attention of everyone intellectual property theft, the  
19 excessive support of state-owned enterprises, the requirements that people have to give up trade  
20 secrets to enter the China market, and the restrictiveness of doing business in China.

21  
22 While he is not sure how much credit to give to President Trump, he does believe that the trade war  
23 itself is really minor. If you look at the numbers, how it affects GDP and the percentage of trade and  
24 what China's exports to the United States mean to our GDP, it's minimal. He thinks that the trade war or  
25 these trade tensions that had been created by Trump are a pretext for a wider struggle over economic,  
26 military, and tech support. Not support, but superiority. He thinks that the Chinese may view the  
27 President as someone who wants to contain China and contain its rise.

28  
29 Mr. Petrilla explained that the Chinese have an initiative which they started about a year or two ago  
30 called China 2025. This is a national goal that says that they want to be, if not a dominant, they want to  
31 be a serious player in a variety of important businesses like aerospace, transportation, artificial  
32 intelligence, robotic manufacturing, biotech, and they are putting a lot of time, energy, and money, and  
33 the state is putting a lot of money into that, supporting their SOEs (state-owned enterprises).

34  
35 Mr. Petrill hypothesized that if the President thinks strategically, than perhaps he is trying to use this  
36 trade war and these tariffs, which Mr. Petrilla stated have never worked, historically speaking, to get  
37 everybody's attention, and he now has everybody's attention. Mr. Petrilla explained that the problem, as  
38 he sees it, is that if you use that as a context, where's it going and what is the real issue here? Is it trade  
39 or is it geopolitics? He stated that each side seems to misjudge one another. The Chinese probably  
40 misjudged the President and continue to misjudge him, but also Washington misjudges the Chinese as  
41 well. He further explained that Washington may feel that the Chinese economy is vulnerable, and they  
42 think that the US will win a trade war. So, that's why we had this bit of a standoff right now.

43  
44 Mr. Petrilla stated that there are many things that the Chinese could do that probably fall under the  
45 category of nationalism, which is different from a trade war. They could say to the Chinese government  
46 that it's unpatriotic to buy hamburgers at McDonald's in China. It's unpatriotic to drink Coke and buy Nike  
47 shoes. They could impose tax investigations on Western companies doing business in China, customs  
48 delays, et cetera. The Chinese also have some other leverage. And that is our considerations with Iran  
49 and North Korea, and also the military flexing of muscle in South China Sea. Which is what he means by  
50 his supposition that each side seems to misjudge one another. He suggested other strategies that may  
51 work better, such as working with our allies together in unison to pressure the Chinese from all sides, to  
52 adhere to the various WTO rules and regulations. Unfortunately, we have antagonized most of our  
53 partners. Another strategy would be targeted legal action to punish theft of US technology. And that has

1 started a little bit. He mentioned as an example a reasonably well-known now case currently going  
2 through the courts and started with American Micron.

3  
4 Mr. Petrilla's conclusion is that this is not going to go away, that this will be a long haul. There is stress,  
5 intentions on both sides, and confusion. He does not know when the end is, but it's not going to happen  
6 overnight. But while he thinks that this is in for the long haul, these changes in our relationship with  
7 China may be here to stay, that the new laws that we've put in effect to curb Chinese development is  
8 here to stay.

9  
10 He then spoke about a group called CFIUS, the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States.  
11 It was formed around 1950 to examine foreign investment in the United States and make sure it didn't  
12 harm our national security in any way. In 2005 or so, Dubai wanted to buy five or six ports in the United  
13 States. So, CFIUS got involved and stopped the process. And now, given the conflict that we have with  
14 China, CFIUS is getting stronger. It used to be that the foreign investment had to take a majority stake.  
15 Now it's a minority stake, and it's only getting stronger.

16  
17 He spoke briefly about challenges and opportunities. The opportunities his firm sees are for businesses  
18 that fulfill the needs of the growing middle class. He mentioned that a colleague was addressing six  
19 cities in China, and those mayors are only interested in satisfying their citizens. With a growing middle  
20 class, they want better food, safer food, better food distribution, clean water, education, environmental  
21 protection and healthcare. Those are all positive things and California has a lot to contribute to that, so  
22 that is a great opportunity. One of the real opportunities that his firm is working on, in addition to all  
23 those things, is education, and executive education. Because with their growth, they don't have a good  
24 group of middle managers to do this. So, there's a real opportunity in executive education.

25  
26 He explained that China is developing all kinds of smart cities, and he discussed a presentation from a  
27 smart city in China a few weeks ago. "Smart City" refers to clean air, clean water, better transportation,  
28 et cetera, and California can contribute a lot to that. He also mentioned that China is becoming more  
29 "horizontal" rather than "top down" from Beijing. Horizontal means that there are many second tier and  
30 larger cities that are doing what they want to do and they're really driving this economic development,  
31 and want to do business, and do business with California companies.

32  
33 He concluded by suggesting that Governor-elect Newsom will need to articulate a strategy that supports  
34 California and California businesses. The state needs also to formulate a policy and a strategy regarding  
35 what we want to do with China in this trade war, because it's really significant to us. He further  
36 commented that he considers himself a globalist and he promotes and celebrates a connected world.  
37 While he would like all these things to pass, we are in for a rough patch, and California can be a leader  
38 nationally as we are a leader in many other things.

39  
40 Mr. Petrilla thanked MCCMC for having him.

41 President Withy thanked Mr. Petrilla for his presentation and proceeded to the Business Meeting.

1 **6. BUSINESS MEETING**

2  
3 6.a. Convening of City Selection Committee for the Purpose of Making an Appointment to the  
4 Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)

5  
6 Mayor Withy convened the City Selection Committee for the purpose of submitting up to three  
7 names to the Marin County Board of Supervisors for consideration of appointment to a new four-  
8 year term to represent Marin County on the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. The Board  
9 will take the MCCMC recommendation under consideration at a meeting in December and make  
10 an appointment. At the October 23, 2018 MCCMC meeting, Supervisor Damon Connolly was  
11 nominated for reappointment. He has submitted a letter of interest that was included in the  
12 agenda packet. No additional nominations or letters of interest were received.

13  
14 President Withy called for any additional nominations from the floor and there was a motion and  
15 a second (Way/Hillmer) to nominate Kevin Haroff for consideration.

16  
17 Nominations were closed and each city filled out and submitted a ballot to the MCCMC Clerk.  
18 While the ballots were being tallied, President Withy continued with the rest of the agenda and  
19 stated that the group would return to this item at the end of the meeting.

20  
21 After the voting on the other committee appointments on the agenda were completed, President  
22 Withy returned to the MTC recommendation. MCCMC Secretary Vaughn reported that the tally of  
23 the City/Town ballots were 10 votes to 1 vote in favor of recommending Supervisor Damon  
24 Connolly to the Board of Supervisors for reappointment to represent Marin on the MTC Board.  
25 President Withy stated that MCCMC is authorized to submit up to three members to the Board of  
26 Supervisors for consideration.

27  
28 There was a motion and a second (Kuhl/Brown) to submit both names (Kevin Haroff, Larkspur,  
29 and Supervisor Damon Connolly) to the Marin County Board of Supervisors for consideration of  
30 appointment.

31  
32  
33 6.b Consideration and Possible Action to Make the Following Committee Appointments:

34  
35 6.b.1: Appointment of One Member to Represent MCCMC as the 1st Representative to the  
36 League of California Cities North Bay Division  
37 One seat available: 1st Representative

38  
39 The vacancy was announced and letters of interest were solicited at the October 23  
40 meeting. Kay Coleman, San Anselmo, was nominated and has submitted a letter of  
41 interest. President Withy called for any additional nominations from the floor and no  
42 additional nominations were received.

43  
44 There was a motion and second (Cox/Eklund) to close nominations and appoint Kay  
45 Coleman to serve as the 1<sup>st</sup> Representative to the League of California Cities North Bay  
46 Division for calendar year 2019. The motion was approved by acclamation. The motion  
47 was approved by acclamation.

48  
49  
50 6.b.2: Appointment of One Member to Represent MCCMC as the Alternate Representative to the  
51 League of California Cities North Bay Division  
52 One seat available: Alternate Representative



1 The vacancy was re-announced and letters of interest were solicited at the November 28  
2 meeting. Renee Goddard, Fairfax, and David Kunhardt, Corte Madera, were nominated  
3 and were asked to submit letters of interest.  
4

5 There was a motion and second (Bailey/Eklund) to postpone the vote to the January 23,  
6 2019 MCCMC Meeting so that others may be present to vote given that there were  
7 nominations from the floor. The motion was approved by acclamation.  
8  
9

10  
11 6.b.3: Cal-ID Remote Access Network  
12

13 The vacancy was announced and letters of interest were solicited at the October 23  
14 meeting. Incumbent Ann Morrison, Larkspur, was nominated and has submitted a letter of  
15 interest. President Withy called for any additional nominations from the floor and no  
16 additional nominations were received.  
17

18 There was a motion and second (Cox/Eklund) to close nominations appoint Ann Morrison  
19 to represent MCCMC on the Cal-ID Remote Access Network for a new two-year term  
20 expiring January 2021. The motion was approved by acclamation.  
21  
22

23 6.b.4: Marin Major Crimes Task Force Oversight Committee (Alternate)  
24

25 The vacancy was re-announced and letters of interest were solicited at the November 28  
26 meeting. There were no nominations received from the floor.  
27

28 There was a motion and second (Bailey/Fredericks) to postpone the vote to the January  
29 23, 2019 MCCMC Meeting and solicit letters of interest in the interim. The motion was  
30 approved by acclamation.  
31  
32

33 6.b.5: Marin County Transit Board of Directors (Director)  
34

35 The vacancy was announced and letters of interest were solicited at the October 23  
36 meeting. Incumbent Kate Colin, San Rafael, was nominated and has submitted a letter of  
37 interest. President Withy called for any additional nominations from the floor and no  
38 additional nominations were received.  
39

40 There was a motion and second (Cox/Eklund) to close nominations and appoint Kate Colin  
41 to represent MCCMC on the Marin County Transit Board of Directors for a new two-year  
42 term expiring January 2021. The motion was approved by acclamation.  
43  
44

45 6.b.6: Marin County Transit Board of Directors (Alternate)  
46

47 The vacancy was announced and letters of interest were solicited at the October 23  
48 meeting. Incumbent Eric Lucan, Novato, was nominated and has submitted a letter of  
49 interest. President Withy called for any additional nominations from the floor and no  
50 additional nominations were received.  
51

1           There was a motion and second (Cox/Kunhardt) to close nominations and appoint Eric  
2           Lucan to represent MCCMC as the Alternate to the Marin County Transit Board of Directors  
3           for a new two-year term expiring January 2021. The motion was approved by acclamation.  
4  
5

6           6.b.7: Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART) Commission  
7

8           The vacancy was announced and letters of interest were solicited at the October 23  
9           meeting. Incumbent Dan Hillmer, Larkspur, was nominated and has submitted a letter of  
10          interest. President Withy called for any additional nominations from the floor and no  
11          additional nominations were received.  
12

13          There was a motion and second (Cox/Eklund) to close nominations and appoint Dan  
14          Hillmer to represent MCCMC on the SMART Commission for a new two-year term expiring  
15          January 2021. The motion was approved by acclamation.  
16  
17  
18

19          6.c.    Update on Initiation of a Survey of Members  
20

21          President Withy summarized that an advisory group has been formed, comprised of himself,  
22          Vice President Brekhus, the four previous MCCMC Presidents and the MCCMC Secretary. This  
23          group has been reviewing a draft of the survey questionnaire. The goal is to get the survey out  
24          to the membership in December and discuss the results at the January meeting in Sausalito. If  
25          we do not make that timetable, then the survey will go out in January with the results ready for  
26          discussion at the February meeting in Tiburon.  
27  
28

29          6.d.    Review of Draft Agenda for January 23, 2019 MCCMC Meeting Hosted by the City of Sausalito  
30

31          There were no comments regarding the draft agenda for the January 23, 2019 meeting.  
32  
33

34          6.e.    Consideration and Possible Action to Approve the Draft Minutes of the October 23, 2018 MCCMC  
35          Meeting Hosted by the Town of Ross  
36

37          There was a motion and second (Eklund/Kuhl) to approve the minutes of the October 23, 2018  
38          meeting. The motion was approved by acclamation.  
39  
40

41          **Adjournment**

42          President Withy thanked everyone for attending and adjourned the meeting at 8:32p.m. to the  
43          next regular meeting scheduled for January 23, 2019 hosted by the City of Sausalito.  
44  
45